IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 100 of 2005 (S/B)

V.K. Dandona, Commandant,
S/o Late Shri K.L. Dandona,
ITB Police Force, Mussoorie. …….. Petitioner

Versus

1. Union of India,
through the Home Secretary,
Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India,
North Block, New Dehli – 110001.
2. Director General,
ITB Police Force,
Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India,
Block II, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi -110003. …….. Respondents

Mr. Gopal Narain, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pradeep Joshi, Standing Counsel (Central Govt.) for the respondents.

Coram: Hon. Cyriac Joseph, C.J.
Hon. Rajesh Tandon, J.

ORAL JUDGMENT: (Per Hon'ble the Chief Justice)

1. The grievance of the petitioner is against his supersession by the Departmental Promotion Committee in the matter of promotion to the post of Additional D.I.G. He was superceded by the Departmental promotion Committee at its meeting held on 08.02.2005. The petitioner has submitted Annexure 3 Representation dated 12.05.2005 to the first respondent seeking review of the decision of the Department Promotion Committee. It cannot be said that there was undue delay on the part of the first respondent in disposing of Annexure 3 Representation which was sent only on 12.05.2005. However, we consider it just and proper to direct the first respondent to consider Annexure 3 Representation and pass appropriate orders as expeditiously as possible.

2. Hence, without entering into the merits of the claim of the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the first respondent to consider Annexure 3 representation, if it has been received, and to pass appropriate orders in the matter in accordance

with law as expeditiously as possible and at any rate, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

3. The petitioner may produce a copy of this judgment before the first respondent for information and compliance.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.) **(Cyriac Joseph, C.J.)**
09.06.2005 **09.06.2005**

G